A literature review on Vehicle routing problems in a cross docking environment

Radhika B, 2C R Shiyas Assistant Professor, 2Associate Professor Cochin University College of Engineering,Kuttanadu

Abstract - Vehicle routing is an integral part of supply chain management (SCM) and various strategies are applied to achieve maximum efficiency. It plays an important role in deciding about all the operations involved in an SCM. In a Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) minimisation of the total supply chain cost through effective transportation methods is a major concern and hence the problem is addressed in different ways by researchers. This paper focuses on classification of the literature on VRP with more importance on models considering cross-docking for improving the supply chain efficiency. The main objective in an SCM is to minimize the inventory carrying cost, transportation cost and timely delivery with required quality. Cross docking is a strategy that is applied in SCM for minimizing these objectives where products are delivered to a location with minimum storage facilities by inbound vehicles transferring them to outbound vehicles in minimum time. Here a survey on methods is presented that applies cross docking for vehicle routing is carried out. The survey finds that majority of the literature shows that cross docking is very helpful in increasing the performance of a supply chain.

keywords - Supply chain management, Vehicle routing problem, cross docking, Evolutionary algorithm.

I. INTRODUCTION

Logistics planning with efficient methods decide the potential of an industry to face competition and it is the most important factor to reduce the overall cost of products or services. Severe competition from multiple competitors forces companies to adopt new methods and strategies to survive. Supply chain management (SCM) is the effective co ordination and control of the movement of commodities and involves the things like routing decisions. It involves the analysis of distinctiveness of each path, number of vehicles, multi-period nature of demand, alternative routes etc. Hence for effective design of SCM, proper handling Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) is very important. Cross-docking is one of the most attractive SCM strategies that have recently gained global acknowledgment [1]. By implementing such a consolidation strategy, companies throughout from manufacturing to retailing have given their interest on improving the efficiency of their SCM operations and try to achieve it.

Vehicle routing problems can be most often modeled with a mathematical formulation and many times as multi-objective optimization models which involves conflicting objectives and algorithms based models are possible in cases where if not able to represent as equations. Cross-docking is an SCM strategy that aims at reducing cost and time for reaching products from start delivery points to the customers. The basic cross-docking models are used to find the optimal inbound and outbound truck scheduling situations that minimizes the total time of operation [2]. The main objectives in a vehicle routing problem is the minimization of transportation cost, other material handling costs, storage cost, cost of tardiness etc. While the vehicle routing problem (VRP) tries to find out the best route for the vehicles to deliver their products from a particular source to certain destinations, the efficiency depends on different strategies associated with that and can be analyzed from the most relevant performance measures related to SCM. Here an attempt is made by reviewing the articles related to VRP problems in a cross docking environment.

II. CROSS DOCKING IN ROUTING PROBLEMS

The vehicle routing problem (VRP) Involves scheduling and logistics management activities in supply chain management and can be formulated as a combinatorial optimization model. Selection of routes for a set of transporting vehicles which is providing goods and services from a warehouse or companies to a set of destinations located at different places with minimum cost is the basic VRP problem [3]. Increasing customer satisfaction and maintaining an accurate delivery time and providing right quality product is the primary focus of industries by implementing an efficient SCM policy. Many challenges occur in SCM when we consider situations like multiple source and destinations with multiple routes. Developing models and finding the solution methodology when there is distribution from many origins to multiple destinations is the key factor in logistics management [4]. The objective of this survey is to carry out a literature review based on VRP problems with cross docking, with respect to the modelling method, solution strategies, input parameters, objective function etc. Articles published between 2011 and 2020 are chosen for review and more emphasis is made on models which uses computational methods as the solution methodology since it is more relevant in now a days. Some of the recent advancements in the area of VRP based on cross docking are narrated below with some articles.

Donto et al [5] has introduced a model to handle hybrid multi-echelon multi-item distribution networks with cross docking. Here when cross docking is used, then products are not required to be stored for long time at transitional depots. Hence, crossdock services are applied for incoming parts based on customer necessity and immediately transport them to their destinations. They modelled the problem as a Mixed Integer Programming Model (MIPM). Madan Kumar and Rajendran (2018) proposed Another MIPM [6] that considered alternative fuel vehicles in their design while considering fuel prices at different refilling stations

Wang and Aldaee (2019) [7] states that Cross-dock operations will get mixed results in the case of individual warehouses and in particular business operation situations. And also according to them the single-floor multitier warehouse layout results in more work related accidents and both contribute to a higher supply chain costs. Hence it is a managerial challenge for the single-floor warehouses to make a separate working area with different temperature/ humidity for shipments that require special handling, like hazardous products. But it easier to handle, and work when there is multi-floor warehouses. They presented a new mixed-integer nonlinear programming model and linearization method to find the solution. They used multi-start, genetic random key, and very-large scale neighborhood search (VLSN) for the solution finding within the critical event to solve the model. They tested the algorithm and got good results. Guemri et al 2019 [8] developed a model with a goal of assigning incoming trucks and outgoing trucks to inbound and outbound minimizing the material handling cost within a cross-docking platform while considering the capacity and assignment constraints

Damghani et al (2017) [2] proposed a new multi-period model with cross-docking and considered different products, due dates for delivery, variable capacities of trucks, and temporary storage locations in their model. The mixed-integer programming model and the evolutionary computation approach based on a genetic algorithm (GA) provided good results. The chromosomes structure, GA operators, and the constraints developed were explicitly designed for multi period problems. Molavi et al (2018) [9] developed a truck scheduling problem model that uses a two touch cross-docking centre by assigning due dates for outbound trucks as a constraint. The objective function was to minimize the total penalty cost and delivery cost for delayed shipments. The sequence of unloading shipments was considered in the model design with First In First Out for loading the shipments. A mixed integer programming formulation has been made for the new model. They concluded that due date can be adjusted between a time window or postponed to a some point based on customer's requirements or cross-dock limitations

Hence it is found from the literature survey that the articles vary in many extent based on the objectives, problem environment, methodology, different strategies etc.

III. REVIEW FINDINGS

Twenty articles were taken for a detailed review and majority from the year 2019. Table 1 shows the objectives concerned, problem strategies, type of model developed and the solution method used by various researchers. The results obtained from various articles are also is narrated in Table 1. From this it is seen that the most of the researchers use mathematical models for the VRP models considering cross docking (more than 90%). Evolutionary algorithms like Genetic Algorithm (GA) are widely used as the solution methodology due to its ability to reach near optimal solutions in a reasonable time than a software package based on the time for reaching an 100% optimal solution. Also simulated annealing, particle swarm algorithm, Tabu search and other neighborhood search algorithms are used by researchers to find the solution for the mathematical model. The reason for using GA as the solution methodology for Vehicle Routing models may be due to the combinatorial nature of VRP problems.

The VRP models using cross docking strategy, apply it in various ways like Multi-floor, cross-dock door assignment, Crossdocking for perishable products, Unit-load cross-dock terminals, Cross docking systems with fixed due dates, Multi-period cross-docking etc. Regarding the objective function most of the articles consider the material handling cost, location and time to deliver as the main criteria for optimization. Quality is also seen as objective criteria for optimization in some articles. Multicriteria models are also found in the literature as in many cases as the presence of conflicting objectives will arise and one has to find a compromising solution. Cross decking in a multi echelon environment is another strategy in which some of the research articles are focusing on.

It is seen from the literature that, the cross-docking is used to control the movement of products with minimum inventory storage and most of the models as discussed in Table 1 creates good results in terms different costs and supply chain efficiency and confirm that this improvement is due to the cross docking strategy. The basic reason is because the products are directly given to the outbound for the purpose of loading into trucks so that waiting time in ware houses is minimized. Hence, overall efficiency is increased in a substantial rate

No	Year	Authors	Objectives	Modeling	Problem	Solution	Outcome of the
			U	method	environment	Methodology	paper
1	2011	Dondo et al	Minimize	Mixed	Multi echelon	Computational	A generalized
		[5]	transportation	integer	VRP with	method	model for a multi
			cost	programming	cross docking		echelon VRP
							developed
2	2015	Ahmadizar et	Minimize	Mathematical	Two-level	Genetic	The results shows
		al [10]	purchasing,	model	vehicle routing	algorithm	temporary storage at
			transportation		with cross-		cross-docks can
			and holding		docking in a		increase the
			costs		three-echelon		flexibility of the
					supply chain		model
3	2016	Brim [11]	Minimize	Mathematical	Vehicle	Simulated	Produced
			total	model	routing	annealing	reasonable solutions
			transportation		problem in a		in terms of
			costs and the		cross docking		computational time,
					setting with		best cost values

.Table 1 A comparison of literature

			fixed costs of the vehicles		heterogeneous vehicles having different capacities		and the convergence pattern on the best cost
4	2016	Goodarzi et al [12]	Minimize the location cost and total shipping cost	Mixed integer nonlinear programming	Location- routing problem for cross-docking networks	Biogeography- based optimization (BBO)	Performs much better than PSO in most cases in terms of total cost of the network and computational time
5	2016	Ladier and Alpan [13]	Improving the robustness of the schedules obtained	Mathematical model	Robust cross- dock scheduling with time windows	Customized algorithm	Found Minimizing the average number of trucks docked at a given door is a good way to ensure robustness in the schedule
6	2017	Damghani et al [2]	Minimizes the maximum time required for the outbound trucks to leave the shipping dock	Mixed-integer programming	Multi-period cross-docking model	Genetic Algorithm (GA)	GA provides a substantial decrease in the computational burden when compared to the branch and bound algorithm.
7	2017	Enderer et al. [1]	Minimize the total material handling and transportation costs	Mathematical model	Vehicle routing problem arising in the operation of cross-dock terminals	Column generation algorithm	Good quality solutions obtained and short computing times
8	2017	Maknoon and Laporte [14]	Find a set of minimum- cost vehicle routes to serve allrequests	Mathematical model	Vehicle routing problem with cross-dock selection	This paper presents a mathematical formulation of the problem and an adaptive large neighborhood search	Computational experiments on a set of benchmark instances demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed methodology
9	2017	Wisittipanich and Piya Hengmeechai [15]	Minimize total operational time or makespan	Mixed integer programming	Multi-door cross docking terminal	Modified particle swarm optimization	The method is capable of finding high quality solutions with fast convergence.
10	2018	Molavi et al. [9]	Minimize the total cost comprising penalty and delivery cost of delayed shipments	Mixed integer programming model	Cross docking systems with fixed due dates and shipment sorting	Hybrid genetic algorithm- reduced variable neighborhood search	Numerical results show that the due date can be adjusted between a time- window or postponed to a certain point based on customers' needs
11	2018 [16]	Nassief et al	Minimizing the total handling cost	Bilinear integer program	Cross-dock door assignment problems	Column generation algorithm	Conducted series of computational experiments to evaluate the performance of the formulations on a

							set of benchmark
12	2019	Luo et al [17]	Minimize	Mathematical	Synchronized	Genetic	Model will help the
			sum of	model	production	Algorithm	decision maker to
			weighted		logistics	(GA) with	decision maker to
			production		scheduling in	local search	configure the
			efficiency		MTO plant	(LS)	production resource
			function and		and CD		and warehousing
			function		warehouse		scenarios
13	2019	Rijal et al.	Minimize	Mixed-	Unit-load	Adaptive	Operational costs at
_		[18]	transportation	integer	cross-dock	large	a cross-dock
			cost,	programming	terminals with	neighborhood	terminal reduce on
			temporary		mixed service	search	average 12%
			storage cost		mode dock	algorithm	compared to the best
			and cost of		doors		solution with a
14	2010	Rabbari et al	Optimize the	Bi objective	Cross	GAMS	Freshness of the
14	2019	[19]	earliness and	model	docking for	software	delivered products
		[17]	tardiness	moder	perishable	soltware	increases by 74.14%
			penalty costs,		products		on average
15	2019	Guemri et	Minimize	Mathematical	Cross-Docking	Probabilistic	The approach
		al.[8]	the material	model	Assignment	Tabu Search	outperform recent
			handling		Problem		state-of-the-art
							approaches by
							previous best-
							known solutions
16	2019	Fathollahi-	Minimize the	Mathematical	Truck	Social	proposed
		Fard [20]	total	model	scheduling	Engineering	modifications of
			operational		problem in a	Optimizer	SEO considerably
			time (makaspap)		cross-docking	(SEO)	outperform the state
17	2019	Dulebenets	Minimizing	Mixed-integer	Iust-in-time	Delayed Start	Superiority of the
17	2019	[21]	the total truck	linear	truck	Parallel	proposed algorithm
			service c <mark>ost.</mark>	programming	scheduling at a	Evolutionary	interms of the key
					cross-docking	Algorithm	algorithmic
					facility	K	performance
					<		indicators against
					VV		heuristic algorithms
18	2019	Baniamerian	Maximizes	Mixed-integer	Heterogeneous	Hvbrid meta-	Results reveal that
		et al. [22]	the total	linear	vehicle routing	heuristic	in the small-size test
			profit of the	programming	problem with	algorithm	problems,
			system		cross-docking	based on	the hybrid algorithm
						modified	is able to find
						variable	an accentable
						search	computational time
						(MVNS) with	F
						four shaking	
						and two	
						neighborhood	
						structures and	
						algorithm	
						(GA)	
19	2019	Wang and	Minimize the	Mixed-integer	MULTI-	Multi start,	Good solutions
		Alidaee [7]	total material	nonlinear	FLOOR,	genetic	produced
			handling cost	programming	CROSS-DOCK	random-key,	by the proposed
				model	DOOR	and very-	heuristics
					ASSIGNMENT PROPLEM	large-scale	
	1		1	1	INODLEM	neignoonloou	1

						search (VLSN)	
20	2020	Shahmardan	Minimize	Mixed-integer	Truck	Hybrid	Numerical study
		and sajadeah	makespan	programming	scheduling in a	heuristic-	shows that partial
		[23]		model	multi-door	simulated	unloading of
					cross-docking	annealing	compound trucks
					center with		has a crucial
					partial		impact on makespan
					UNLOADING		reduction

IV. CONCLUSION

A literature review has been carried out on SCM models which apply cross docking strategy in the truck scheduling operations. The articles selected for review ranges from the year 2011 to 2019. The factors considered for comparison are, problem environment, objective function, type of model and solution method. A comprehensive comparison is given in a tabular form based on the study. Problem environment differs in many aspects like models considering, multiple floors/doors, time windows, heterogeneous vehicles and multi-period dynamic situations, etc. Most of the methods have a mathematical model with specific objective functions and constrains so that they can be solved even by using a standard software package. Evolutionary algorithms are used as the solution method in majority of the cases and are providing good results compared to some earlier models not involving cross docking. Hence the review finds that SCM models that uses cross docking strategy perform better than other models based on different category of costs, time and certain other performance measures.

REFERENCES

- F. Enderer, C. Contardo, and I., "Contreras Integrating dock-door assignment and vehicle routing with cross-docking", Computers and Operations Research, Vol. 88, pp. 30–43, 2017
- [2] K.K. Damghani, M. Tavana F.J. Santos-Arteaga and M. Ghanbarzad-Dashti, A customized genetic algorithm for solving multi-period cross-dock truck scheduling problems, Measurement Vol. 108, pp 101–118, 2017
- [3] H. Zhang, Q. Zhang, L. Ma, Z. Zhang, Y. Liu, "A hybrid ant colony optimization algorithm for a multi-objective vehicle routing problem with flexible time windows", Information Sciences Vol. 490, pp.166–190, 2019.
- [4] A. Langevin, M. baraga, and J.F. Campbell, "Continuous approximation models in freight distribution: An overvie",. Transportation Research Part B, Vol. 30, pp3163–188, 1996.
- [5] R. Dondo, C.A. Méndez, and J. Cerd, "The multi-echelon vehicle routing problem with cross docking in supply chain management", Computers and Chemical Engineering, Vol.35, pp. 3002–3024, 2011.
- [6] S. Madankumar, C. Rajendran, "Mathematical models for green vehicle routing problems with pickup and delivery: A case of semiconductor supply chain", Computers and Operations Research, Vol. 89, pp.183–192, 2019.
- [7] H. Wang, and B. Alidaee, "The multi-floor cross-dock door assignment problem: Risingchallenges for the new trend in logistics industry" Transportation Research Part E, Vol. 132, pp. 30–47, 2019.
- [8] O. Guemri, P. Nduwayo, R. Todosijevi, S. Hanafia, and F. Glover, "Probabilistic Tabu Search for the Cross-Docking Assignment Problem", European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 277, pp. 875–885 2019.
- [9] D. Molavi, A. Shahmardan, and M. S. Sajadieh, "Truck scheduling in a cross docking systems with fixed due dates and shipment sorting", Computers & Industrial Engineering Vol. 117, pp. 29–40, 2018
- [10] F. Ahmadizar, M. Zeynivand and J. Arkat, "Two-level vehicle routing with cross-docking in a three-echelon supply chain: A genetic algorithm approach", Applied Mathematical Modelling, Vol. 39, pp.7065–7081, 2015.
- [11]B. Sule, "Vehicle routing problem with cross docking: A simulated annealingapproach", Procedia Social and Behavioural Sciences, 12th International Strategic Management Conference, ISMC 2016, 28-30 October 2016, Antalya, Turkey Vol. 235, 149 – 158, 2016.
- [12] A.H. Goodarzi, and S.H. Zegordi, "A location-routing problem for cross-docking networks: A biogeography-based optimization algorithm", Computers & Industrial Engineering, Vol. 102, pp132–146, 2016.
- [13] A.N. Ladier, and G. Alpan, "Robust cross-dock scheduling with time windows "Computers & Industrial engineering, Vol. 99, pp.16–28, 2016.
- [14] Y. Maknoon and G. Aporte, "Vehicle routing with cross-dock selection", Computers & OperationsResearch, Vol. 77, pp. 254–266, 2017.
- [15] W. Wisittipanich, and Piya Hengmeechai, "Truck scheduling in multi-door cross docking terminal by modified particle swarm optimization", Computers & Industrial Engineering vol. 113, pp.793–802, 2017.
- [16] W. Nassief, I.Contreras, B. Jaumard, "A comparison of formulations and relaxations for cross-dock door assignment problems ", Computers and Operations Research Vol. 94, pp. 76–88, 2018.
- [17] H. Luo, X.Yanga and K. Wang, "Synchronized scheduling of make to order plant and cross-docking Warehouse", Computers & Industrial Engineering, Vol. 138, 2019
- [18] A. Rijal, M. Bijvank, R. Koster, "Integrated scheduling and assignment of trucks at unit-load cross-dock terminals with mixed service mode dock doors", European Journal of Operational Research Vol. 278, pp. 752–771, 2019
- [19] A. Rahbari, M.M Nasiri, F. Werner, M. Musavi b and F. Jolai, "The vehicle routing and scheduling problem with cross-docking for perishable products under uncertainty: Two robust bi-objective models", Applied Mathematical Modelling, Vol. 70, pp. 605–625, 2019.
- [20] A.M.F. Farda, M.J. Bouranib, N. Cheikhrouhouc, M. Keshtelib, "Novel modifications of social engineering optimizer to solve a truckscheduling problem in a cross-docking system", Computers & Industrial Engineering, Vol. 137, 2019.

- [21] Maxim A. Dulebenets, "A Delayed Start Parallel Evolutionary Algorithm for just-in-time truck scheduling at a crossdocking facility", International Journal of Production Economics Vol. 212, pp. 236–258, 2019.
- [22] A. Baniamerian, M. Bashiri and R.T. Moghaddam, "Modified variable neighborhood search and genetic algorithm for profitable heterogeneous vehicle routing problem with cross-docking", Applied Soft Computing Journal Vol. 75, pp. 441–460, 2019
- [23] A. Shahmardan, M.S. Sajadieh, "Truck scheduling in a multi-door cross-docking center with partialunloading Reinforcement learning-based simulated annealing approaches", Computers & Industrial Engineering Vol.139, 2020



87