Bioremediation an Important aspect to clean up Environment in ecofriendly manner: A review.

[1]Aakriti Guleria
[1]Assistant Professor
[1]Abhilashi University

Abstract - **With the increase in technological advances, urbanization and global mechanization, human race has gone far away from the nature and leading to the high rate of pollution and toxicity. All natural resources are contaminated which thus poses a great threat to the human race. These organic and inorganic hazardous compounds are destroying our ecosystem day by day. Therefore, it is need of present time to adopt suitable methods for remediation of with suitable approaches and mechanisms. Sustainable approach such as bioremediation is preferred over expensive, non-specific and non-ecofriendly conventional approaches like physical remediation strategies. This review article focus on bioremediation and its biotechnological advances for the elimination of pollutants from the environment.**

keywords - **Microbes, Bioremediation, Environment, Metals.**

I. INTRODUCTION:

Pollution is the inclusion of hazardous substances into the environment known as pollutants. Pollutants have two major categories: firstly, natural like volcanic ash and secondly created by human activities like factory wastes, radioactive wastes etc [1, 2]. These pollutants damage the quality of air, water and soil. Pollution is in direct relation with the comfort of human beings, mostly the things that are useful to human's gives pollution as their byproduct. Much that is beneficial to people is polluting. Cars are spreading their exhaust pipes with toxins. Industries and households manufacture waste and waste that can pollute soil and water. Chemical poisons used to kill weeds and insects are pesticides and destroy ecosystems by diving into waterways [3, 4].

Pollution is a global threat. While urban areas are generally more polluted than rural areas, pollution can spread to remote regions where no people live. For example, pesticides and other chemicals have been detected in the Antarctic ice sheet. A large array of microscopic plastic particles shapes what is known as the Great Pacific Garbage Patch in the centre of the northern Pacific Ocean. Air and water sources cause pollution. Ocean currents and migratory fish move marine contaminants far and wide. Winds will pick up radioactive material inadvertently released from the nuclear reactor and spread it around the world. Smoke from a factory in one country is drifting to another country. Therefore, it is a matter of great concern for the scientists to clean our environment from these pollutants with the help of natural materials. In this direction microorganism plays a vital role in cleaning up the polluted natural resources like water, air and soil.

The development of new genetic methods and a greater understanding of microbe and plant gene structures and functions has accelerated developments in pathway engineering techniques (referred to as designer microbes and plants) to enhance hazardous waste disposal. Bioremediation, phytoremediation and rhizoremediation are the leading techniques used by the researchers to cure pollutant toxicity. This review article gives emphasis on the biotechnological applications and techniques developed to protect the environment, to detoxify and eliminate heavy metals and metalloids. The current review article also discusses modern developments and future scenarios for bio / phytoremediation of harmful emissions from polluted soils and water.

II. BIOREMEDIATION:

Bioremediation is a method used to treat polluted media, including water, soil and surface content, by modifying environmental conditions to improve microorganism growth and degrade the contaminants to which they aim. The biologic treatment solution for waste, including wastewater, agricultural waste and solid waste, is comparable and is, in many situations, less costly and more sustainable than other remedial alternatives [5]. In most bioremediation procedures, oxidation-reduction is involved in the process where either electron acceptor (usually oxygen), or the electron donor (usually organic substrate), has been applied to promote oxidation of reduced contaminants (e.g. hydrocarbons) in order to minimize the oxidation of oxidized contaminants (nitrate, perchlorate, oxidised metals, chlorine-powered solvents and explosifs) [6]. In some cases, specialised microbial cultures are added (bioaugmentation) to further enhance biodegradation.

The usage of living microorganisms to degrade environmental pollutants into less poisonous types is bioremediation. The microorganisms can be indigenous to a polluted region or isolated from another place and transported to the polluted spot. Living organisms transform contaminant compounds by reactions that occur in their metabolic processes. Biodegradation of a substance is typically caused by action by many species. Only when environmental conditions allow microbial growth and activity can bioremediation be successful. The application also includes modifying environmental parameters to allow for faster microbial growth and degradation. Bioremediation is a very important process due to its special features and immense utility. It is cost effective and it needs no construction or additional infrastructure. Moreover, these microbes are effective in controlling odour, reducing TSS, BOD, oil/ grease accumulation in sewage/ polluted water and solids.

III. BIOREMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES:

a) Phytoremediation:

Phytoremediation refers to the use of plants to remove toxins or help to degrade chemicals into less harmful form from soil and groundwater. The tissue of the plant that is rich in pollutants accumulated can be harvested and processed in protection. A solution can also occur if bacteria are exposed to microbes in a higher-oxygen-containing atmosphere at the roots of plant degradation or if the roots are drawing polluted ground moisture nearer to the surface.

Phytoextraction:

Phytoextraction is the use of plants to remove toxins from the soil and to focus them on above ground plant tissue. Research and development activities are focused on two areas of research viz. the remediation of contaminants and radionuclides and remediation of inorganic compounds. Phytoextraction is suitable in water as well as in the soil.

Phyto-extraction was originally used to recover heavy metals from soils, but now the technology applies in other media. Greenhouse systems for the removal of heavy metals and radionuclides from water are currently being studied with high contaminant root uptake plants and low translocation to shoots [7] called hyper-accumulators. For feasible treatment [8], the ability of high plants (> 3 tonnes of dry matter / hectare-year) in harvestable sections of the plants to withstand high metal concentration (> 1,000 mg / kg) is needed. Cd, Ni, Zn, As, Se, and Cu were stated to be readily bioavailable. Co, Mn and Fe are mildly organic metals. The addition of ETA or EDTA to soil (0.5 to 10 µg EDTA / kg of soil) may improve bioavailability of Pb [8], however, is not especially bioavailable. If pollution is significantly deeper (for example 6 to 10 metres), it is possible to use profoundly-rooted poplar arbours, but leaf litter and toxic residues associated with it are of concern [9].

Research on particular plant species has shown that some plants concentrated up to several percent of their dried shooting biomass with toxic heavy metals. In these plants (referred to as hyperaccumulators) the amount of toxic elements in the leaf and the stalk biomass in the same soil was about 100 times higher, and more than one thousand times in some cases [10]. Lead concentrations (dry weight) in plant shoots were reported to be between 130 and 8.200 mg per kg for several plants cultivated at the contaminated sites [9]. Another Pb phytoextraction investigation showed the high metal-accumulation potential of the Brassica juncea and Brassica nigra [11].

Phytostabilization:

The mobility in the soil of heavy metals is decreased by phytostabilization. In the process, wind-blown dust, soil erosion reduced and contaminant solubility and/or bioavailability to the food chain may be reduced [12], which can contribute to the immobilisation of metals. Adding soil modifications, such as organic compounds, phosphates, alkalizing agents and biosolids can reduce soil metal solubilities and decrease soil liquidation.

Contaminant mobility is limited by deposition by plant roots, root absorption, or rainfall in the root zone. The large quantities of water transpired by plants will in some instances lead to hydraulic control to prevent leachate migration.

Where other strategies for remediating vast areas with low pollution can not be done, the use of phytostabilization to hold metals in the current position is especially appealing. In areas with high concentrations of metals due to the toxicity of soil, remediation is difficult. Plants should be able to withstand high contaminants, have the ability to immobilise contaminants with greater root biomass output, and be able to maintain root contaminants [13].

Fieldwork has shown the effective phytostabilization in sand / perlite mixtures to lower Pb levels and the possibility of stabilising low-level radionuclides. Studies have also shown that phytostabilization could minimise metal leaching by converting metals to an insoluble state of oxidation. Plants have decreased to inaccessible and less harmful Cr (III) and harmful Cr (VI) [12].

Phytostabilization is effective at low contamination sites and relatively low contamination.

Heavy metal plants accumulate usually at a depth of up to 24 inches in the root and root area. The applicability of phytose stabilization can be limited with metals readily translocated into plant leaves due to the possible food chain impacts.

Phytostimulation:

The breakdown of organic contamination by improved microbial activity in the plant-based root region or rheizosphere is phytostimulation, or biodegradation / degradation of enriched rhizosphere. Microbials activity are stimulated in many ways in the rhizosphere. (1) compounds like sugar, carbohydrate, amino acids, acetates and enzymes that have roots that promote indigenous communities of microbes; (2) root systems supply oxygen to the rhizosphere to assure aerobic transformation [14]. U.S. EPA Laboratory in Athens, GA Studied different types of enzyme categories for the removal from soil and sediment of organic pollutants including pesticides, aromas and polynuclear hydrocarbons (PAHs). In low-lying areas, low levels of polluted phytostimulation should be introduced. Plant toxicity can be high levels of contaminants [15].

Tests conducted at the National Laboratory of Oak Ridge showed that trichloroethylene (TCE) has vanished over time. Differences were found between five different plant species. The direct association of microbial atrazine mineralization to a fraction of organic carbon in the soil was confirmed in a further study[16]. Phytostimulation demonstrations have been carried out to investigate soil chlorinated solvent (Fort Wort, TX), soil and groundwater petroleum hydrocarbons (Ogden, UT), soil petroleum (Portsmith, VA) and soil PAHs (Texas City, TX) [8].

Phytotransformation:

Phytotransformation, also known as phytodegradation, is the decomposition of sequestered organic pollutants by plants by plant metabolism; or the effects of plant-generated compounds such as enzymes. Organic pollutants are degraded into simple plant-based compounds, which in turn promote plant growth [18]. Phytotransformation remediation at a site relies on the immediate absorption and accumulation of pollutants from the media throughout the vegetation.

Due to the absorptive ability, transpiration speed and concentration of the chemical in soil water direct absorption in plant tissue through the root system is dependent. Efficiency of absorption depends upon chemical speciation, physical / chemical and plant features; sweat rate depend on plant form, leaf zone, soil moisture, heat, wind conditions and relative humidity. Sweat efficiency depends on plants. The organic compound can be remediated by two processes viz. storing the chemical with its fragments through the lignification to the plant; and complete conversion to carbon dioxide and water [16]. The release by phytovolatilization of volatile pollutants into the environment is a phyto-transformation. Although the diffusion of pollutants into the environment does not achieve the aim of full remediation, phytovolatilization may be beneficial because of extended exposure to soil and a reduction of the risk of groundwater pollution.

Phytotransformation may be used for remediation of organically polluted areas. Any plant enzyme will break up and convert chlorinated solvents, ammunition and herbicides. Effective application of this technology includes the non-toxic or substantially less toxic processed compounds which are accumulating inside the plant than the parent compounds. Usually, more than one season is required to make this technology more successful. Soil must be below 3 ft deep, and soil must be under 10 ft deep. The animals or insects that consume plant material can still join the food chain. Soil modification may be important for easier plant reception by breaking bonds connecting pollutants with soil particles, including chelating agents [17].

Rhizofiltration:

Rhizopiltration means the use of plant roots in radioactive metals from polluted groundwater to absorb, concentrate, and precipitate. Initially, the plants are supplied with polluted water to acclimatise suitable plants with healthy root systems. These plants are moved to the infected site and harvested after the roots have been saturated. Rhizofiltration allows treatment on site, which minimises environmental disruptions.

A suitable rhizofiltration plant with its fast-growing root system can take toxic metals from the solution over a long period of time. Several plant species were found to effectively extract from aquatic solutions toxic metals like Cu (2 +), Cd (2 +), Cr (6 +), Ni (2 +), Pb (2 +) and Zn (2 +). Even from liquid streaming can be extracted low-level radioactive pollutants.

In applications where low concentrations and large water volumes are involved, rhizopiltration is especially effective. Plants that transfix metals effectively in shootings should not be used for rhizofiltration, as the plant residue is more polluted. In a pilot study, sunflower roots (helianthus annuus L.) have shown reduced the Pb, Cu, Zn , Ni, Sr, Cd, U(VI), Mn and Cr(VI) levels to or below a controlled flux limit within 24 hours [18], as shown in pilot research for rhizofiltration.

Constructed Wetlands:

Man-made habitats designed to directly deal with wastewater, mine runoff, and other water by optimising the biological, physical , and chemical processes in natural wetland environments are designed for built wetlands. Efficient, economical and eco-safe wastewater treatment as well as wildlife habitat can be created by built wetlands.

The wetland systems built are classified into three main categories of wetland systems: free water surface (FWS), surface flow systems (SFS), or aquatic plant systems (APS). FWS or soil substratum systems consist of aquatic plants that are rooted inside a developed earthen basin in a substratum that may or may not be fitted in compliance with soil permeability and groundwater requirements [19]. FWS systems are built in the plug flow, on top of the soil media or at depth from one and 18 inches and obtain preliminary treatment of low-speed wastewater. However, aquatic vegetation is planted onto gravel or pit stones, and wastewater fluctuates about 6 inches below the substrates. SFS are usually gravel sustrates that are close to FWS systems. The total depth normally range from 12 to 24 centimetres [20]. Municipal wastewater, farm ruins, mine runoff, and other effluents can be used in built wetlands. These man-made wetland systems effectively minimise the need for biochemical oxygen (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS).

Due to a lack of long-term operational data, technical guidance on the design and operation of developed wetlands may be minimal. Potential seasonal variabilities and impacts on wildlife can adversely affect the operation of the system and licensing [21], respectively. There is a need for relatively large areas of land and high water use due to the high rate of evapotranspiration.

b) Bioaugmentation:

Bioaugmentation refers to the introduction into a polluted site of microbial strains specially selected or genetically modified. When evaluations of sites show that indigenous microorganism species can not degrade target pollutants, the degradation of specific waste compounds can be successfully carried out by exogenous microorganisms with necessary biochemistry capabilities.

Biodegradation:

The degradation of organic pollutants by indigenous or acclimated micro-organisms, primarily bacteria and fungi in soil and/or groundwater is known as biodegradation. Biocontaminants in aerobic conditions (with oxygen) are converted to carbonic dioxide, water and microbial cell mass. Methane, small amounts of carbon dioxide and hydrogen, as well as sometimes intermediary species, can be less or more harmful in anaerobic conditions (in the absence of oxygen), or more harmful than a metabolised compound. Environmental contaminants such as pentachlorophénal (PCP), lindane (heximocyclohexane), dichlorodiphenyl trichloroethane (DDT) and 2, 4-dichlorophhenol growing be degraded with biodegradation. These pollutants are degraded by the use of fungi such as Fusarium oxysporium and Phanerochaete Chyrysosoporium and bacteria like P. cepacia and Pseudomonas putida [22].

There can be several factors restricting the successful management of polluted sites. Certain soil matrix or preferential injection fluid flow characteristics can lead to poor contact between microbes and pollutants. Microbial poisons can be harmful to high concentrations of chlorinated compounds, inorganic salts, heavy metals and long chain hydrocarbons. Moreover, the circulation of liquid soil solutions could increase the mobility of pollutants. The biodegrade of Baygon in the field had a half-day life of 15 days at 30^0C, according to a test carried out by the Bioscience and Biotechnology Department at Roorkee University. Baygon was totally dissipated after 15 days of soil incubation, and a new metabolite with unknown biological activity was produced [23].

c) Biostimulation:

Biostimulation is an addment to indigenous microbial populations, both in soils and groundwater, of oxygen and/or inorganic nutrients. Methods for inducing contaminant biodegradation can be used in situ or ex situ.

Bioventing:

Bioventing is the process of supplying existing microorganisms with air or oxygen to stimulate natural in-situ biodegradation of pollutants in the soil. Bioventing only provides sufficient oxygen at low air flow levels to support the microbial activity in the vadose region. Oxygen is most frequently delivered to residual soil pollution by direct air injection. In addition, as vapours travel slowly through the biologically active land [24-26], volatile compounds are biodegraded, alongside the depleted residual adsorbed fuel.

Any chemical that can be aerobic biodegraded is subject to bioventure. Techniques for remediation of petroleum hydrocarbon polluted soil, non-chlorinated solvents, certain pesticides, wood preservatives and other organic chemicals have been employed effectively. Monitoring of off-gases on soil surface may be important and air near the relevant structures must be extracted to avoid the vapor accumulation in basements in the radius of influence of air injection wells [27].

Chemical oxidation of soils

Redox reactions chemically convert dangerous substances to non-hazardous or lower toxic compounds in soils. The movement of electrons from one compound to another contributes to the oxidation and reduction of one compound. Ozone, hydrogen peroxide and hypochlorites, chlorine and chlorine dioxide are the most widely used agents to treat harmful pollutants. Chemical redox is a well-established, fully-scale technology used for treating wastewater and for contaminant treatment in soil more frequently [28]. Chemical redox is used in soil and waste water for inorganic pollutants. This technology has less influence in pollutants, such as non halogenated organic volatile (vOC) and semi-volatile organic (SVOC) compounds, fuel and pesticides [29, 30].

In situ lagoon treatment:

Lagoon treatment is an in situ method of bioremediation that degrades a mixture in the lagoon of harmful organic and inorganic matter. Cleanup usually involves a mixed form of treatment. Depending on the contaminant properties, aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation methods may be implemented. Anaerobic metabolism and an aerobic process are implemented for waste containing volatile chlorinated hydrocarbons. In the treatment of lagoons to maintain high bio remediation rates, solids delivery and mixing methods, such as air spraying, pumping, mechanical processes and dredging must be used [28].

In situ lagoon treatment experiments have shown that the galvanic waste, phenols, peaching acids, biphenyl polychlorinated (PCBs), heavy metals (PAHs), benzene, and poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEXs) can be remedied. This method has been used for the treatment of industrial lagoons and other sources of hazardous substances. Sludge lagoons typically have a large chemical blend. Lagoon treatment minimises handling of soil and sludge and is far less cost-effective than alternative techniques.

Several studies have been done at a sand mines project in Texas at a waste lagoon [36]. It was estimated that the approved waste dump covered 7.3 acre, containing 70,000 cubic metres of sludge and 50,000 to 70,000 cubic metres of polluted soil. The lagoon and the field have been polluted at a depth of 30 ft by industrial waste, acid galvanising wastes, phenols, beating acids, PCBs and heavy metals. Experiments of laboratory scale showed that microbial activity was maximised when 3:1 nitrogen and phosphates were added. The findings of the laboratory were verified by pilot-scale tests of 20,000 gallon tanks over a period of two months.

Bioreactors:

Bioreactors represent highly regulated soil and groundwater treatment methods. Due to temperature, pH, nutrient level and chaos, microbial behaviour, and hence contaminant degradation, can be optimised in constructed batch or continuously fed reactors.

Compost-based reactors:

Compost-based reactors provide a regulated biological in-sail solution, using high temperature microorganisms, to turn biomass-dangerous materials into harmless and stabilised byproducts. There are two general reactors in the boat: reactors with plug flows (vertical and horizontal) and reactors with agitated beds. The higher temperature of 122 to 158 ° F normally results from the heat emitted by micro-organisms during organic material degradation. Aerobic composting is used to decompose waste waste, while anaerobic treatments are best suited for treatment of hazardous waste. The bulking agent, such as saw dust, or animal waste, is used to improve the porosity of polluted soil inside vessels. Transporters must be used in the compost-based reactor method for transport of materials. The performance will be influenced by humidity, pH, oxygen, temperature and carbon / nitrogen ratios [31].

City sludge, soils and lagoon sediments tainted with biologically degradable compounds can be added to composting technology. Pentachlorophénol (PCP), refining sludges, canery waste insecticides, explosive polluted soil, sludge-borne ethylene glycol and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) has been demonstrated as composting. The enclosed reactor is composted at high speeds and curing in a reactor or an external pile can be done. The applicability and reliability of the method can be limited by many factors. (2) the volume of the material will be increased by the incorporation of modifications following its composting;(3) the degree of versatility of the open systems is not permitted; and (4) the device should be allowed use of advanced mixing equipment [28]. Results of a study in which composting of pit oil mixed with wood chips and manure in the vessel was investigated [32] have been recorded. The initial sludge contaminant was 10.8% of hydrocarbons extractable. The hydrocarbon concentration was reduced after 4 weeks by about 92%. The ultimate hydrocarbon amounts is minimal enough to take account of land disposal.

Slurry-based reactors

A reactor is used to remediate a mixture of water and excavated soil for slurry-phase biological treatment. The soil is mixed with water at the concentration defined by the soil's pollutants, biodegradation rate and physical design. The polluted fines and washwater in the reactor are processed when soil is prewashed. Depending on the form of biological reactor, the slurry comprises 5 to 40 per cent solids by weight. The soil is combined with nutrients and oxygen in a reactor vessel. Depending on the needs of treatment, microorganisms, acids and alkali may be applied. Only the slurry is dewatered when bio-degradation is complete [33].

Slurry-phase biological treatment is typically a batch technique that has been used successfully for the remediation of hydrocarbon, petrochemical, solvent, pesticidal and wood preserving soils, sludge and surface water contamination, and other organic chemicals. Bioreactors are best suited for Heterogeneous Soils, low-permeability Soils, hard to capture soils or scenarios which require relatively short treatment times. Bioreactors are more suitable.

There are various considerations, including excavations of polluted soils, which can restrict the applicability and efficacy of the technology, and before the reactor can size materials can be difficult, and unhomogeneous soils can cause serious problems with material handling, soil dewatering fines after treatment can be expensive, and an acceptable method of dispensing.

d) Land-based Treatments:

Land treatment or solid-phase removal of soil normally requires remediation in situ. Excavated soil may be processed in piles or constructed processing cells.

Composting:

Composting is a regulated biological process by using high-temperature micro-organisms to turn biodegradable hazards into harmless, stabilised by-products. The higher temperature is the product of the heat emitted by microorganisms during the organic waste degradation. Aerobic composting is used for waste waste treatment, and sewage sludge depletion is best suited to anaerobic treatment. Contaminated ground composting uses a bulking agent, for example saw dust, animal waste or the like, to make the media more porous. Moisture levels, pH, oxygen, temperature and the carbon-to - nitrogen ratio [34, 35] influence compost effectiveness.

City sludge, soils and lagoon sediments polluted by biological compounds may be added to the composting technology. Pentachlorophenol (PCP), refining sludge (RS), insecticides in cannery waste, explosion-contaminated ground, ethylene glycol in dumps and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), have been shown to be ideal for composting. A significant space-requirement could be necessary for excavation of the polluted soils, which may lead to the release of odourous compounds and increase the volume of material after composting, because of the addition of the amending agents [27].

Land Farming

Land agriculture is a method of bioremediation in the top soil or in cells of biotreatment. Soils, sediments and sludges that have been polluted and routinely turned over or tilled into aerated soils are mixed into the surface of the soil.

This method has been used for years effectively in handling and disposing of oil sludge and other waste refineries. In situ systems were used for handling hydrocarbons and pesticides near surface soil emissions. The machines used in agricultural land are characteristic of those used in farming. Such land farms cultivate and increase dangerous compound microbial degradation. The higher a thumb (i.e., the more rings inside a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon), the slower is the rate of degradation. Furthermore, the more chlorinated and nitrated the compound, the harder the degradation is [36].

The conditions for biological contaminant damaging are largely unregulated, which increases the time to complete recovery, in particular for recalcitrant compounds and inorganic contaminants not biodegraded, potential for high concentrations of particulate mattresses Factors that can restrict the applicability and efficacy of the method includes broad space requirements. Creature, pentachlorophenol (PCP), and bunker C oil are hydrocarbons which have been reported as not readily degraded by land use. The laboratory has demonstrated that petrol, jet fuel und heating oil have been significantly degraded when fertiliser, lime and tilling simulations have been given to the soils affected. Land-based farming at a polluted site with about 1.9 million litres of kerosene has been explored in a field trial. The concentrate of 0.87% in the top 30 cm of the oil in the first place and 0.7% in the first and latter depths, after 200 kg of nitrogen and 20 kg of phosphorus and lime in the field, has decreased to less than 0.1% in the initial emergency clean-up, respectively.

e) Fungal Remediation:

Fungal repair requires fungi, mostly hydrocarbons, for the purpose of remedying organic soil pollutants. A large range of organic contaminants includes polychlorinated biphenyles (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and traditional explosives (TNT, RDX and HMX). The enzymes released by white-red fungi which are either lignin- degrading or wood-roting were identified as being the key to their degradation. For white rot fungi — bioreactor and in situ systems-two separate treatment configurations were tested.

White-rot Fungus:

A group of fungi (Phanerochaete Chyrsosphoria or white-red fungus) produce a family of enzymes that have extensive biodegradable properties called lignin peroxidases. Soil remediation with white-red fungus was checked on the site and in the reactor.

White-red fungi have been classified as degrading polyaromatics (PAHs), aromatic chlorine hydrocarbons (ACHs), polycyclic aromatics, polychlorinated biphenyls (PAHs), dibenzo polychlorine (P) dioxin, DDT and lindane pesticides, and many azo dyes. Benzo(a)pyrene, pyrene, fluorine and phenanthrene-limiting conditions and low pH (approximately 4.5) are the favoured degradation of PAHs. It has been reported that white-red funguses can mineralize tri-, tera- and pentachlorophenol (PCP), which can be fully mineralized by a community of soil microbes.

White-rot mushrooms have been shown to have degraded cyclodiene insecticides like chlordane. Factors which restrict their efficacy (see below) can delay wide-spread use in the area have been observed in laboratory-scale trials for degrading TNT (using pure cultures). Data from mixed fungal and bacterial system bench-scaling experiments have shown that most of the depletion of TNT is due to bacteria and most of the TNT losses are due to fungal and soil changes adsorption. The susceptibility to biological processes of white-red fungus is a significant limitation. The fungus did not grow well in suspended cell systems, the induction of enzymes was negative by mixing and the fungus' ability to bind itself effectively to fixed media was deficient [10, 37].

Applicability may also be restricted by high concentrations of TNT in polluted media, inhibition of toxicity, chemical sorption and competition with indigenous microbes. The process of transformation by white-red fungus is considered to be slow and the complete capacity of its catabolism has not been widely documented. In a broad temperature range, white-red funguses can grow. There is no development under 50 deg. F and between 86 and 102 degrees no major growth rate shift occurs. F. Optimal growth of white-red fungus at 102 degree has been documented. F, 4.0-4.5 pH range, and high levels of oxygen. Furthermore, lignin degradation is 2 to 3 times higher by pure oxygen than by air, as water potential increases from 1.5 to 0.03 MPa and soil growth increases directly by nitroge content, the growth rate of white-red fungus increases considerably Optimum humidity is between 40-45%.

In a former Naval submarine basin area (Bangor, Washington), a pilot-scale treatability analysis with white-red fungus was conducted. The initial TNT level was 41% less than 1.844 ppm, while the final level was far above the target of 30 ppm. After 30 and 120 days of therapy, concentrations of 1,267 ppm and 1,087 ppm were achieved.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE ASPECTS

Bioremediation is a wonderful and efficient environmentally sustainable and desirable alternative to remedy, disinfect, maintain and rehabilitate the technical processes used with microbes to disinfect the atmosphere. In the future, researchers in the field could explore new grateful organisms. The speed of unwanted waste degradation in biological agents is calculated, the inadequacy of nutrient supply, unpleasant external abiotic conditions (aeration, humidity, pH , temperature) and the low level of pollutant organic availability. Due to these factors, biodegradation is not less favourable in natural environments. Only in the circumstances of microbial growth and activity can bioremediation be successful. In multiple places, bioremediation has been used in varying degrees of success globally. With large number of advantages of bioremediation over very few disadvantages this technology is of immense importance. There is a great scope to develop eco friendly species of microbes to clean up the environment with genetic engineering.

REFERENCES

[1] J. A. Foley, et al., “Solutions for a cultivated planet,” Nature, vol. 478, pp.337–342, October 2011.
[2] D. Tilman,, C. Balzer, J. Hill, and B. L. Befort, “Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture,” Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, vol. 108, pp. 20260–20264, December 2011.
[3] R. Amundson, et al., “Soil and human security in the 21st century,” Science, vol. 348, pp. 1261071, May 2015.
[4] N. D. Mueller, et al., “Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management,” Nature, vol. 490, pp. 254–257, August 2012.
[5] Nadim, Farhad, et al., "Detection and remediation of soil and aquifer systems contaminated with petroleum products: an overview," Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, vol. 26, pp. 169-178, May 2000.
[6] “Introduction to In Situ Bioremediation of Groundwater,” US Environmental Protection Agency, pp. 30, December 2013.
[7] S. D. Cunningham, J. R. Shann, D. E. Crowley, and T.A. Anderson, “Phytoremediation of Contaminated Soil and Water, in Phytoremediation of Soil and Water Contaminants,” ACS Symposium Series 664, Washington, DC. 1997
[8] J. L. Schnoor, “Phytoremediation, Technology Overview Report, Ground-Water Remediation Technologies Analysis Center,” Series E, vol. 1, October 1997.
[9] J. W. Huang, J. Chen, and S. D. Cunningham, “Phytoextraction of Lead from Contaminated Soils, in Phytoremediation of Soil and Water Contaminants,” ACS Symposium Series 664, Washington, DC. 1997.
[10] S. S. Suthersan, Remediation Engineering Design Concepts, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2016.
[11] P. B. A. N Kumar, V. Dushenkov, H. Motto, and I. Raskin, “Phytoextraction: The Use of Plants to Remove Heavy Metals from Soils,” Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 29 (5), pp. 1232-1238, May1995.
[12] M. Blaylock, B. Ensley, D. Salt, N. Kumar, V. Dushenkov, and I. Raskin, “Phytoremediation: A Novel Strategy for the Removal of Toxic Metals from the Environment Using Plants,” Biotechnology, vol. 13 (7), pp.468-474, May 1995.
[13] R. Miller, “Phytoremediation, Technology Overview Report,” Ground-Water Remediation Technologies Analysis Center, Series O, vol. 3, October1996.
[14] T. A. Anderson, E. A. Guthrie, and B.T. Walton, “Bioremediation in the Rhizosphere,” Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 27 (13), pp. 2630-2636, December 1993.
[15] D. R. Nair, and J. L. Schnoor, “Effect of Soil Conditions on Model Parameters and Atrazine Mineralization Rates,” Water Research, vol. 28 (5), pp. 1199-1205, March 1993.
[16] “A Citizen's Guide to Phytoremediation,” U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, EPA 542-F-98-011, August 1998.
[17] V. Dushenkov, P. B. A .N. Kumar, H. Motto, and I. Raskin, “Rhizofiltration: The Use of Plants to Remove Heavy Metals from Aqueous Streams,” Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 29 (5), pp. 1239-1245, May 1995.
[18] D. E. Salt, M. Blaylock, N. P. B. A. Kumar, V. Dushenkov, B. D. Ensley, I. Chet, and I. Raskin, Phytoremediation: A novel Strategy for the Removal of Toxic Metals from the Environment Using Plants, Biotechnology, 13 (5), pp. 468-474, May 1995.
[19] R. J. Freeman, Constructed Wetlands Experience in the Southeast, in Constructed Wetlands for Water Quality and Improvement, Chapter 6, G.A. Moshiri, ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

[20] S. R. Witthar, Wetland Water Treatment Systems, in Constructed Wetlands for Water Quality and Improvement, Chapter 14, G.A. Moshiri, ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

[21] R. K. Bastian, and D. A. Hammer, , The Use of Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment and Recycling, in Constructed Wetlands for Water Quality and Improvement, Chapter 5, G. A. Moshiri, ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

[22] R. Kumar, and C. B. Sharma, Biodegradation of Carbamate Pesticide Propoxur in Soil, in Environment and Biodegradation, V. P. Agrawal and S. V. S. RanaIndia eds., Society of Biosciences, India, pp. 137-148, 1992.

[23] F. P. Ward, Military Applications of Biodegradation, in Biotechnology and Biodegradation, Advances in Applied Biotechnology Series, Vol. 4, A. Chakrabarty, D. Kamely, and G. Omenn eds., Gulf Publishing, Houston, TX, pp. 147-154, 1994.

[24] U. S. Air Force Environics Directorate of the Armstrong Laboratory, U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence, Manual: Bioventing Principles and Practices, EPA/540/R-95/534a, 1995.

[25] U. S. Air Force Environics Directorate of the Armstrong Laboratory, U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence, Manual: Bioventing Principles and Practices, Volume II, EPA/540/R-95/534b, 1995.

[26] R. E. Hinchee, Bioventing of Petroleum Hydrocarbons, in Handbook of Bioremediation, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

[27] The EPA Office of Research and Development, Alternative Treatment Technology Information Center (ATTIC) database, 1999.

[28] J. T. Jr Cookson, Bioremediation Engineering Design and Application, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY, 1995.

[29] Chemical Oxidation Treatment Engineering Bulletin, EPA, OERR and ORD, Washington, DC, EPA/530/2-91/025, 1991.

[30] K. H. Baker, and D. S. Herson, Bioremediation, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1994.

[31] M. Alexander, Biodegradation and Bioremediation, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2nd ed., March 1999.

[32] R. D. Norris, et al., Handbook of Bioremediation, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1994.

[33] K. H. Baker, and D.S. Herson, Bioremediation, McGraw Hill, New York, NY, 1994.

[34] EPA, Slurry Biodegradation, Engineering Bulletin, EPA/540/2-90/016, 1990.

[35] EPA, Pilot-Scale Demonstration of Slurry-Phase Biological Reactor for Creosote-Contaminated Wastewater, EPA RREL, Series includes Technology Demonstration Summary, EPA/540/S5-91/009; Technology Evaluation Vol. I, EPA/540/5-91/009, PB93-205532; Applications Analysis, EPA/540/A5 91/009; and Demonstration Bulletin, EPA/540/M5-91/009, 1991.

[36] H. G. Song, X. Wang, and R. Bartha, "Bioremediation Potential of Terrestrial Fuel Spills, Applied and Environmental Microbiology," vol. 56 (3), pp. 652-656, 1990.

[37] J. T. Dibble, and R. Bartha, "Rehabilitation of Oil-Inundated Agricultural land: A Case History," Soil Science, 128 (1), pp. 56-60, 1979.